Società Italiana Infrastrutture Viarie XIX International SIIV Summer School, Perugia 4th - 8th September 2023

Transportation Infrastructures towards Green Transition

Performance evaluation of sustainable pavement materials by machine learning methods









Nicola Baldo *Polytechnic Department of Engineering and Architecture University of Udine, Italy*

Towards a green and digital future: Twin transitions in the European Union



Ideally Green and Digital transitions reinforce each other!



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering







Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Nicola Baldo University of Udine





Road Materials and Pavement Design > Latest Articles

Submit an article Journal homepage

Enter keywords, authors, 1



Construction and Building Materials Volume 168, 20 April 2018, Pages 660-670



133 Views 0 CrossRef citations to date 0 Altmetric

Research Article **Prediction of Marshall design parameters of** asphalt mixtures via machine learning algorithms based on literature data

Optimizing asphalt mix design process using artificial neural network and genetic algorithm

Haissam Sebaaly a 🛛 , Sudhir Varma b 🖾 , James W. Maina a 义 🖾

Mert Atakan 🔽 🝺 & Kürşat Yıldız 🝺

Received 21 Nov 2021, Accepted 09 May 2023, Published online: 23 May 2023



Construction and Building Materials Volume 325, 28 March 2022, 126607



Optimizing asphalt mix design through predicting effective asphalt content and absorbed asphalt content using machine learning

Jian Liu ª, Fangyu Liu ª, Chuanfeng Zheng ʰ, Daodao Zhou º, Linbing Wang ª 🙁 🛛

Research Open Access Published: 18 March 2022

Prediction of the hot asphalt mix properties using deep neural networks

Kareem Othman

Beni-Suef University Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences 11, Article number: 40 (2022) Cite this article

Chapter | Jul 18, 2019

International Airfield and Highway Pavements Conference 2019

Artificial Intelligence Based Mix Design of Pavement Mixes

Authors: Rajib B. Mallick 🖾, M. K. Nivedya 🖾, and Ramkumar Veeraragavan 🐸 🛛 AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS





Topics of the lecture:

- ✓ Machine Learning overview
- ✓ Case study n.1
- ✓ Case study n.2
- ✓ Case study n.3
- ✓ Case study n.4
- ✓ Case study n.5





Artificial Intelligence vs Machine Learning vs Artificial Neural Networks vs Deep Learning: <u>The Russian Nesting Doll Analogy</u>

Artificial Intelligence





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Machine Learning applications: Face Recognition, Computer Vision, Image Classification









Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Nicola Baldo University of Udine



Machine Learning for Pavement Engineering





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Nicola Baldo University of Udine



Artificial Neural Network: inspired by biological brain

Biological Neural Network

Biological Brain





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Nicola Baldo University of Udine



Artificial Neural Network: inspired by biological brain





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Artificial Neural Network: inspired by biological brain





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Nicola Baldo University of Udine





Neurons operate in parallel and are organized into interconnected layers.

Each layer is characterized by a different function and a different number of neurons.

Neurons in the same layer do not communicate with each other.



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering





Shallow Neural networks: architecture characterized by only one hidden layer.

Deep learning model: neural network characterized by more than one hidden layers.

Feedforward networks: Information flows only in one direction.



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering





Input layer

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}} = (x_{1i}, x_{2i}, \dots, x_{pi})$$
$$i = 1, \dots, n$$

Output layer $\overline{y_i} = (y_{1i}, y_{2i}, \dots, y_{ci})$ $i = 1, \dots, n$



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering









Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Nicola Baldo University of Udine



Biological Neuron





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Nicola Baldo University of Udine



Transfer Function

Hyperbolic tangent function







Training procedure



The weights of the connections are defined through a "**training**" process.

In supervised learning, weights are progressively adjusted to minimize the difference between experimental targets and network output, using **backpropagation** algorithms:

- Gradient Descent
- Levenberg–Marquardt
- Bayesian Regularization



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Backpropagation Algorithm: Bayesian Regularization

$W^{e+1} = W^e -$	$-[J^T(W^e)J(W^e)]$	$(+ \mu_e I]^{-1} J^T(W^e) v(W^e)$
-------------------	---------------------	------------------------------------

W	Matrix of weights and biases
е	Generic iteration with $e \in \{1, \dots, E\}$
J	Jacobian matrix of training loss function $F(\cdot)$ with respect to W^e
μ	Learning step size
Ι	Identity matrix
v	Network errors vector

$$F(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{W}^{e}), \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{W}^{e}) = \beta \|\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{W}^{e}) - \boldsymbol{y}\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha \|\boldsymbol{W}^{e}\|_{2}^{2}$$

у	Experimental target vector
ŷ	Predicted output vector
α	Regularization parameters set according to David MacKav's approach
β	Regularization parameters set according to David Machay's approach





Training process: Underfitting & Overfitting





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Nicola Baldo University of Udine



Training process: Data set partition (1/2)



Hold-out Method



Possibility of running into overfitting phenomenon

With regard to the hold-out method, it is worth pointing out that such a practice has two major drawbacks when the number of observations is small: first, some relevant patterns may be excluded from the training set; second, the training-test splitting makes the model sensitive to the randomness of data in the training set.





Training process: Data set partition (2/2)

Early Stopping Procedure

Data is here divided into 3 partitions: the first set allows to calculate the loss function; the second one is used to control the model's generalization capabilities during the training process, in order to avoid, possibly, overfitting phenomena. Finally, the third one is used to evaluate the model's predictive capabilities on data it has never seen before.







k-fold Cross-Validation

k-fold Cross-Validation is a resampling technique used to elaborate an actual model on a limited data sample. It consists in dividing the data sample in k-partitions. Each sub-sample is used once as validation set and k-1 times training set. It was as decided to give a k-value equal to 5, consistently with the relevant literature.







ANN Optimization

ANNs models are often based on a network structure set "a priori".

The search for the optimal network architecture is one of the most difficult tasks in ANN studies and consists of tuning the model settings, called hyperparameters, that yield the best performance score on a validation data-set.

Standard methods are based on random or grid search.











Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Case study n.1

https://doi.org/10.3311/PPci.19996 | 1 Creative Commons Attribution ①

Periodica Polytechnica Civil Engineering

Road Pavement Asphalt Concretes for Thin Wearing Layers: A Machine Learning Approach towards Stiffness Modulus and Volumetric Properties Prediction

Nicola Baldo^{1*}, Matteo Miani¹, Fabio Rondinella¹, Evangelos Manthos², Jan Valentin³

¹ Polytechnic Department of Engineering and Architecture (DPIA), University of Udine, Via del Cotonificio 114, 33100 Udine, Italy

² Department of Civil Engineering, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, University Campus, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece

³ Faculty of Civil Engineering, Czech Technical University, Thákurova 7, 166 29 Prague, Czech Republic

* Corresponding author, e-mail: nicola.baldo@uniud.it





Introduction and Scope

- The goal of this study was to implement a data-driven methodology <u>to predict</u>, by means of ANNs, <u>stiffness and volumetric properties</u> of Asphalt Concretes for **VERY THIN** road pavement wearing **LAYERS** (AC-VTL) starting from few compositional variables.
- The experimental data analyzed in this study resulted from investigations carried out at the Highway Engineering Laboratory, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki.





Asphalt Concretes for Very Thin Layers: AC-VTL

- due to its low thickness, requires lesser amount of materials, hence lowers the total cost and minimizes the quantities of hard and durable aggregates coming from natural non-renewable resources;
- due to its gap-graded gradation, provides a pavement surface with very good surface characteristics, such as very good macrotexture and (with the use of hard and durable aggregates) very good skid resistance;
- provides a noise reducing surface (reduction -3 dB to -4 dB in comparison to conventional dense asphalt concrete surface);
- provides a pavement surface with a certain drainage ability, hence reduction of water spray;
- faster construction can be achieved;
- it can be used as an overlay without milling the underlying layer and not raise the surface level too much;
- up to a certain point it can improve the evenness of the pavement surface, so a levelling course not to be needed;
- in case of maintenance/renewal of the AC-VTL, smaller quantities of materials are wasted or used for recycling;
- no modifications are required by the conventional mixing plants in order to produce AC-VTL.





Materials and Design

AC-VTLs were produced using **<u>diabase aggregates</u>** coming from three different quarries located in Greece.

Property	Value
Los Angeles coefficient (%), EN 1097-2	25
Polished Stone value (%), EN 1097-8	55 to 60
Flakiness index (%), EN 933-3	< 25
Sand Equivalent (%), EN 933-8	> 55
Methylene blue value (mg/g), EN 933-9	< 10 (range of values 6.7 to 8.3)





Materials and Design

Droporty	Bitumen type					
Property	50/70	SBS Modified				
Penetration (0.1 x mm), EN 1426	64	45				
Softening point (°C), EN 1427	45.6	78.8				
Elastic recovery (%), EN 13398	_	97.5				
Fraas breaking point (°C), EN 12593	- 7.0	- 15.0				
After aging						
Retained penetration	_	84				
Difference in softening point (°C)	_	-2.4				





Materials and Design



AC-10-5070	30 specimens laboratory-produced using conventional 50/70 bitumen
AC-10-SBSL	30 specimens laboratory-produced using SBS modified bitumen
AC-10-SBSP	32 specimens plant-produced using SBS modified bitumen





Experimental Data AC-10-5070

Cat. Var.	B _c (%)	% 6.3	% 0.063	IT-CY (MPa)	Va (%)	VMA (%)	Cat. Var.	В _с (%)	% 6.3	% 0.063	IT-CY (MPa)	Va (%)	VMA (%)
1	4.12	31.84	5.35	2939	15.7	23.3	1	4.76	35.62	5.71	2750	11.6	20.8
1	4.12	31.84	5.35	2708	15.9	23.5	1	4.76	35.62	5.71	2749	10.7	20.1
1	4.12	31.84	5.35	2944	15.4	23.0	1	5.39	35.62	5.71	2399	9.3	20.1
1	4.76	31.84	5.35	2445	14.2	23.2	1	5.39	35.62	5.71	2355	10.2	20.8
1	4.76	31.84	5.35	2586	14.2	23.1	1	5.39	35.62	5.71	2336	7.1	18.2
1	4.76	31.84	5.35	2441	14.9	23.8	1	6.02	35.62	5.71	1939	7.4	19.7
1	5.39	31.84	5.35	1962	11.1	21.7	1	6.02	35.62	5.71	1964	8.6	20.7
1	5.39	31.84	5.35	1945	11.3	21.8	1	6.02	35.62	5.71	1956	5.5	18.0
1	5.39	31.84	5.35	1921	11.6	22.1	1	5.35	35.62	5.71	2421	9.4	20.0
1	6.02	31.84	5.35	1775	9.3	21.3	1	5.35	35.62	5.71	2354	10.2	20.8
1	6.02	31.84	5.35	1886	9.4	21.4	1	5.35	35.62	5.71	2342	7.2	18.1
1	6.02	31.84	5.35	1965	9.4	21.4	1	6.00	35.62	5.71	1965	7.4	19.7
1	4.12	35.62	5.71	3276	12.7	20.6	1	6.00	35.62	5.71	1957	8.7	20.7
1	4.12	35.62	5.71	3116	17.1	24.5	1	6.00	35.62	5.71	1948	5.5	18.0
1	4.12	35.62	5.71	3227	12.7	20.6							
1	4.76	35.62	5.71	2760	9.6	19.1							



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Experimental Data AC-10-SBSL

Cat. Var.	В _с (%)	% 6.3	% 0.063	IT-CY (MPa)	Va (%)	VMA (%)	Cat. Var.	В _с (%)	% 6.3	% 0.063	IT-CY (MPa)	Va (%)	VMA (%)
2	4.41	33.87	5.30	3197	15.6	24.5	2	4.12	31.84	5.60	3356	15.7	23.3
2	4.41	33.87	5.30	3067	17.0	25.8	2	4.12	31.84	5.60	3384	15.3	23.0
2	4.41	33.87	5.30	3278	16.4	25.3	2	4.76	31.84	5.60	3105	14.1	23.1
2	4.79	33.87	5.30	3066	15.6	15.6	2	4.76	31.84	5.60	3085	13.9	23.0
2	4.79	33.87	5.30	3044	16.3	16.3	2	4.76	31.84	5.60	3078	14.2	23.2
2	4.79	33.87	5.30	2931	13.2	13.2	2	5.39	31.84	5.60	2856	11.1	21.7
2	5.11	33.87	5.30	2840	14.4	24.9	2	5.39	31.84	5.60	2854	11.1	21.7
2	5.11	33.87	5.30	2976	13.1	23.8	2	5.39	31.84	5.60	2841	11.2	21.8
2	5.11	33.87	5.30	2873	15.0	25.5	2	6.02	31.84	5.60	2424	8.9	21.1
2	5.48	33.87	5.30	3226	11.9	23.5	2	6.02	31.84	5.60	2451	8.9	21.0
2	5.48	33.87	5.30	2928	13.2	24.6	2	6.02	31.84	5.60	2456	9.4	21.5
2	5.48	33.87	5.30	3093	12.6	24.1	2	6.10	31.84	5.60	2422	7.9	20.4
2	5.86	31.84	5.60	3123	10.9	23.4	2	6.10	31.84	5.60	2438	8.6	21.0
2	5.86	31.84	5.60	3091	10.9	23.5	2	6.10	31.84	5.60	2468	8.6	20.9
2	5.86	31.84	5.60	3358	12.3	24.6							
2	4.12	31.84	5.60	3452	15.6	23.2							



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Experimental Data AC-10-SBSP

Cat. Var.	B _c (%)	% 6.3	% 0.063	IT-CY (MPa)	Va (%)	VMA (%)	Cat. Var.	B _c (%)	% 6.3	% 0.063	IT-CY (MPa)	Va (%)	VMA (%)
3	5.58	33.87	5.63	3382	9.5	18.5	3	5.29	35.00	5.58	3332	13.5	22.2
3	5.58	33.87	5.63	3446	9.3	18.3	3	5.29	35.00	5.58	3388	13.3	21.6
3	5.58	33.87	5.63	3260	9.6	18.7	3	5.29	35.00	5.58	3316	13.6	22.4
3	5.58	33.87	5.63	3617	9.1	18.1	3	5.29	35.00	5.58	3786	13.2	22.6
3	5.27	31.17	5.87	3362	14.1	22.5	3	5.42	32.43	5.37	2862	10.6	20.3
3	5.27	31.17	5.87	3458	13.5	22.2	3	5.42	32.43	5.37	2913	10.5	19.5
3	5.27	31.17	5.87	3421	13.9	22.3	3	5.42	32.43	5.37	2809	10.8	19.3
3	5.27	31.17	5.87	3380	13.9	22.7	3	5.42	32.43	5.37	2896	10.7	19.7
3	5.47	32.02	5.77	2810	10.3	19.5	3	5.15	33.92	5.89	3935	15.2	23.9
3	5.47	32.02	5.77	2842	10.0	18.5	3	5.15	33.92	5.89	4145	14.8	23.2
3	5.47	32.02	5.77	2826	10.1	18.6	3	5.15	33.92	5.89	4197	14.3	22.9
3	5.47	32.02	5.77	2827	10.1	18.6	3	5.15	33.92	5.89	4036	15.0	23.5
3	5.74	33.50	6.12	2655	8.2	16.8	3	5.35	35.77	5.24	3309	12.0	20.5
3	5.74	33.50	6.12	3940	7.1	15.9	3	5.35	35.77	5.24	3296	12.1	21.2
3	5.74	33.50	6.12	3612	7.4	16.1	3	5.40	34.53	5.68	2853	11.1	19.9
3	5.74	33.50	6.12	3448	7.6	16.3	3	5.40	34.53	5.68	2865	11.0	19.9



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Artificial Neural Network



Transfer Function	Equation	Graph						
Exponential Linear	$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha(e^x - 1) & x \le 0 \\ x & x > 0 \end{cases}$							
Hyperbolic Tangent	$\varphi(x) = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{e^x + e^{-x}}$							
Input	Description							
B _C	Bitumen content (by w	eight)						
% 6.3	% passing at 6.3 mm	sieve						
% 0.063	% passing at 0.063 mm	n sieve						
Cat. Var.	Categorical variabl	le						
Output	Description							
IT-CY	Stiffness modulus	6						
Va	Air voids content							
VMA	Voids in the mineral aggregate							



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Hyperparameters Definition

	Hyperparameter	Symbol	Variation Interval
	Neurons in the hidden layer	N	{8,, 64}
Network Topology	Transfer Function	act	$\{ELU, Tanh\}$
	Learning Rate	μ	$[10^{-4}, 10^{-2}]$
	Increasing factor	μ_{inc}	$[10^1, 10^3]$
Learning Algorithm	Decreasing factor	μ_{dec}	$[10^{-3}, 10^{-1}]$
	Maximum Learning Rate	μ_{max}	$[10^6, 10^8]$
	Learning Algorithm Iterations	E	{500,, 5000}




Step-by-step Procedure





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



k-fold Cross-Validation

k-fold Cross-Validation İS а technique resampling to used elaborate an actual model on а limited data sample. It consists in dividing the data sample in kpartitions. Each sub-sample is used once as validation set and k-1 times as training set. It was decided to give a k-value equal to 8, consistently with the relevant literature. This procedure is iteratively repeated 8 times; finally, the average of the 8 validation scores is given as general performance of the model.

Iterations 1 2 5 6 7 8 3 4 Train Train Train Train Test Train Train Train Train Test Train Train Train Train Train Train Train Train Test Train Test Train Train Train Train Train Test Train Train Train Train Train Train Train Train Test Train Train Train Test Train Train Train Train Train Train Test Train Train Train Train Train Train Train

Validation Scores





Feature	Bounded Domain	Selected Value		
Ν	{8,, 64}	22		
act	{Tanh, ELU}	Tanh		
μ	$[10^{-4}, 10^{-2}]$	2.02×10^{-3}		
μ_{inc}	$[10^1, 10^3]$	1.18×10^{2}		
μ_{dec}	$[10^{-3}, 10^{-1}]$	1.07×10^{-2}		
μ_{max}	[10 ⁶ , 10 ⁸]	4.52×10^{7}		
Ε	{500,, 5000}	2922		

 $F: X_N \times X_{act} \times X_{\mu} \times X_{\mu_{inc}} \times X_{\mu_{dec}} \times X_{\mu_{max}} \times X_E$





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Nicola Baldo University of Udine XIX International SIIV Summer School Perugia 4th - 8th September 2023



Fold	Loss	R-Pea	R-Pearson coefficient					
FUIU	(MSE)	ITSM	Va	VMA				
1	0.0172	0.9780	0.9523	0.9374				
2	0.0318	0.9654	0.9332	0.9204				
3	0.0181	0.9952	0.9413	0.9535				
4	0.0273	0.9109	0.9746	0.9630				
5	0.0520	0.8698	0.8826	0.8470				
6	0.0130	0.9856	0.9863	0.9674				
7	0.0209	0.9569	0.9470	0.9440				
8	0.0047	0.9731	0.9975	0.9931				
	Ave	erage over t	the 8 test fo	olds				
	0.0231	0.9544	0.9519	0.9407				





Fold	Loss	R-Pea	rson coeff	icient	
ΓΟΙϤ	(MSE)	ITSM	Va	VMA	
1	0.0172	0.9780	0.9523	0.9374	
2	0.0318	0.9654	0.9332	0.9204	
3	0.0181	0.9952	0.9413	0.9535	
4	0.0273	0.9109	0.9746	0.9630	
5	0.0520	0.8698	0.8826	0.8470	
6	0.0130	0.9856	0.9863	0.9674	
7	0.0209	0.9569	0.9470	0.9440	
8	0.0047	0.9731	0.9975	0.9931	
	Ave	erage over t	the 8 test fo	olds	
	0.0231	0.9544	0.9519	0.9407	







Fold	Loss	R-Pea	rson coeff	icient
FOIU	(MSE)	ITSM	Va	VMA
1	0.0172	0.9780	0.9523	0.9374
2	0.0318	0.9654	0.9332	0.9204
3	0.0181	0.9952	0.9413	0.9535
4	0.0273	0.9109	0.9746	0.9630
5	0.0520	0.8698	0.8826	0.8470
6	0.0130	0.9856	0.9863	0.9674
7	0.0209	0.9569	0.9470	0.9440
8	0.0047	0.9731	0.9975	0.9931
	Ave	erage over t	the 8 test fo	olds
	0.0231	0.9544	0.9519	0.9407







Remarks case study n.1

- It has been feasible to predict simultaneously Stiffness Modulus, Va and VMA, starting from bitumen content, a couple of grading curve data and "the type of mix" categorical variable.
- The best predictions accuracy has been achieved for the Stiffness Modulus.
- The number of artificial neurons in the hidden layer, as well as the hyperparameters values related to the learning algorithm, resulted different with respect to those assumed by default in commercial software or suggested by empirical rules.





Case study n.2





Article

Stiffness Data of High-Modulus Asphalt Concretes for Road Pavements: Predictive Modeling by Machine-Learning

Nicola Baldo ^{1,*}^(D), Matteo Miani ¹^(D), Fabio Rondinella ¹^(D), Jan Valentin ²^(D), Pavla Vackcová ² and Evangelos Manthos ³

- ¹ Polytechnic Department of Engineering and Architecture (DPIA), University of Udine, Via del Cotonificio 114, 33100 Udine, Italy; matteo.miani@phd.units.it (M.M.); fabio.rondinella@phd.units.it (F.R.)
- ² Faculty of Civil Engineering, Czech Technical University, Thákurova 7, 166 29 Prague, Czech Republic; jan.valentin@fsv.cvut.cz (J.V.); pavla.vackova@fsv.cvut.cz (P.V.)
- ³ Department of Civil Engineering, University Campus, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece; emanthos@civil.auth.gr
- * Correspondence: nicola.baldo@uniud.it; Tel.: +39-0432-558-745





Introduction and Scope

- The goal of this study was to predict, by means of ANNs, the stiffness of High-Modulus Asphalt Concretes (HMAC) on the basis of selected input parameters.
- A set of 38 variants of HMAC mixtures was available.
- All mixtures were characterized by a gradation 0–22 mm and had to fulfill requirements set in Czech technical specifications TP 151.
- Hard paving grade 20/30 or PMB 25/55-60 were used.
- Some mix variants contained between 10% and 30% RAP.





Experimental data set (VMT stands for Vysokým Modulem Tuhosti, i.e., HMAC in Czech)

Mix	Bitumen	ID	Bulk Density	Max Bulk Density	Binder Content	Voids Content	Maximum Strength	Marshall Stability	Marshall Flow	IT-CY 15 °C
	Type		(g/cm ³)	(g/cm ³)	(%)	(%)	kN	kN	(0.1 mm)	(MPa)
VMT 22 with			2.455		4.9	7.0	20.6	20.0	33	16,062
30% RA	20/30	M1	2.429	2.640	4.9	8.0	22.4	22.7	35	14,283
(Froněk-A)			2.456		4.9	7.0	21.6	23.1	28	16,078
VMT 22 with			2.459		4.6	7.1	20.7	20.9	51	14,867
30% RA	20/30	M1	2.453	2.647	4.6	7.3	19.6	20.5	41	15,616
(Froněk-B)			2.456		4.6	7.2	21.0	21.4	43	14,350
VMT 22 with			2.473		4.3	7.2	22.8	22.4	22	15,974
30% RA	20/30	M1	2.475	2.663	4.3	7.0	24.7	25.9	24	15,535
(Froněk-C)	Ċ.		2.485		4.3	6.7	24.1	24.6	27	15,452
VMT 22 with			2.467		4.3	7.8	20.1	20.5	58	12,049
20% RA	20/30	M2	2.463	2.676	4.3	8.0	19.0	19.8	42	14,419
(Froněk-1)			2.461		4.3	8.0	20.2	21.0	30	13,003
VMT 22 with			2.486		4.6	7.3	20.7	21.7	59	13,792
20% RA	20/30	M2	2.462	2.682	4.6	8.2	18.9	20.0	42	11,559
(Froněk-2)	1000	2764. 000 92	2.480	<u>ेल्ल</u> ास कल्लाम	4.6	7.5	19.6	19.8	47	12,452
VMT 22 with			2.460		4.9	8.1	23.5	23.5	53	14,441
20% RA	20/30	M2	2.460	2.678	4.9	8.1	24.3	23.9	45	15,113
(Froněk-4)	02001		2.443		4.9	8.8	23.9	24.6	30	16,558
VMT 22 with			2.422		5.2	9.2	18.6	21.8	35	13,116
20% RA	20/30	M2	2.411	2.667	5.2	9.6	19.1	22.2	27	11,548
(Froněk-6)			2.422		5.2	9.2	22.3	25.4	34	12,370





Experimental data set (VMT stands for Vysokým Modulem Tuhosti, i.e., HMAC in Czech)

Mix	Bitumen Type	ID	Bulk Density	Max Bulk Density	Binder Content	Voids Content	Maximum Strength	Marshall Stability	Marshall Flow	IT-CY 15 °C
			(g/cm ³)	(g/cm ³)	(%)	(%)	kN	kN	(0.1 mm)	(MPa)
111 (71 00 111			2.547		5.1	2.7	17.1	19.7	71	13,171
VMT 22 with	50/70	M3	2.554	2.617	5.1	2.4	17.2	20.0	55	11,659
30% RA var. 5.1		2.538		5.1	3.0	19.6	21.9	45	13,242	
			2.538		4.8	2.6	17.4	19.9	58	12,739
VMT 22 with	50/70	M3	2.535	2.607	4.8	2.8	14.8	16.9	47	13,287
30% KA. var. 4.8	Ċ.		2.539		4.8	2.6	22.7	25.5	61	13,217
VMT 22 with			2.549		4.8	2.0	17.4	20.2	53	13,025
30% RA	50/70	M3	2.539	2.602	4.8	2.4	15.3	17.9	63	14,267
(Froněk)	1000100		2.5 <mark>4</mark> 8		4.8	2.1	16.8	19.0	66	13,325
VMT 22 with			2.553		4.6	2.8	20.6	20.7	51	15,871
30% RA	50/70	M3	2.548	2.626	4.6	3.0	18.6	21.0	54	15,666
(Froněk)	300/57 00/02		2.548		4.6	3.0	20.2	23.4	50	16,707
VMT 22 with			2.473		4.8	6.3	18.1	19.0	34	12,729
20% RA	50/70	M4	2.495	2.639	4.8	5.4	20.2	21.6	34	12,282
(Froněk-3)	100 March 1990 March 19		2.477		4.8	6.1	21.5	22.3	46	14,101
VMT 22 with			2.397		4.4	4.0	14.2	13.6	48	8666
20% RA	50/70	M4	2.421	2.496	4.4	3.0	13.4	13.4	50	9064
(PKB-A)			2.412		4.4	3.4	12.2	12.4	51	8135
VMT 22 with			2.358		4.6	7.9	12.1	11.4	35	8950
10% RA	50/70	M5	2.351	2.559	4.6	8.1	15.3	14.1	37	9339
(PKB-101)	11		2.355		4.6	8.0	12.8	14.5	34	9311
VMT 22 with			2.341		4.5	8.5	17.1	16.2	90	9203
10% RA	50/70	M5	2.343	2.559	4.5	8.4	17.1	16.1	80	9142
(PKB-102)			2.323		4.5	9.2	15.1	14.2	96	9361



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Stiffness prediction based on Marshall test results





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Nicola Baldo University of Udine XIX International SIIV Summer School Perugia 4th - 8th September 2023



The Procedure





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Hidden Neuron

Inputs Weights



Activation Function	Equation	Graph
Rectified Linear	$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \le 0 \\ x & x > 0 \end{cases}$	$ \begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ &$
Hyperbolic Tangent	$\varphi(x) = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{e^x + e^{-x}}$	0 -1
Logistic Sigmoid	$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$	$ \begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & $



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



The k-fold Cross Validation

A set of n observations is randomly split into five nonoverlapping groups. Each of these fifths acts as a validation set (shown in beige), and the remainder as a training set (shown in blue). The test error is estimated by averaging the five resulting MSE estimates.







Results

In general, the addition of the empirical mechanical parameters among the predictors of the stiffness modulus improved the prediction accuracy compared to the use of mixes' composition parameters alone, as shown by the model evaluation functions: in particular, the values of the R^2_{adj} parameter, a modified version of R^2 which assesses the effect of adding predictors to a model, increase with the use of MS or MQ, showing that the new independent term improves the model more than would be expected by chance, but the percentage gain in model accuracy is really paltry. In fact, although the percentage variation in MAE between MIX_{SNN} and MS_{SNN} is +23.4%, in terms of R^2_{adj} the gain is only +0.29% and therefore such that it may not justify the use of additional data, such as any results of the Marshall test.

ID	Features	N	φ	<u>f(</u> ·)	MAE	RMSE	R ²	R ² adj
MIX _{SNN}	5	6	TanH	12.093	209.12	293.56	0.9909	0.9894
MS _{SNN}	6	6	TanH	11.856	160.17	241.54	0.9938	0.9923
MQ _{SNN}	6	8	LogS	12.373	174.91	272.61	0.9922	0.9902



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Results





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Remarks case study n.2

- It has been feasible to fit experimental data of asphalt concretes partially made with RAP.
- The inclusion in the input data of Marshall Stability or Quotient values, allows to improve the prediction accuracy of the Stiffness Modulus.
- For each of the neural models analyzed, the Bayesian optimization procedure has identified a different combination of hidden neurons and transfer functions.





Case study n.3

6th



WMCAUS 2021 **World** Multidisciplinary Civil Engineering - Architecture - Urban Planning Symposium

30 August-3 September, 2021 - Prague (Czech Republic)



Performance Prediction of Fine-Grained Asphalt Concretes with Different Quarry Fillers by Machine Learning Approaches

Authors: Nicola BALDO, Matteo MIANI, Fabio RONDINELLA, Pavla VACKOVÁ, Jan VALENTIN Address: Udine, Italy & Prague, Czech Republic



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Introduction and scope

 The main goal was the prediction of the stiffness of asphalt concretes, prepared with different quarry fillers used as alternatives for traditional limestone filler, by Machine Learning approaches which consider the chemical properties of the selected fillers and the quarry aggregate types as input variables.

• The case study involved several fillers and stone aggregates that were used to produce Marshall specimens of a specific fine-grained asphalt concretes designed originally for the assessment of filler suitability in terms of adhesion phenomenon.





Materials – Aggregates

Aggregates from the **three quarries** were chosen for adhesion evaluation both because of their availability **in the Czech Republic** and regular use for HMA production, and also because of their surface properties and petrographic composition of the parent rock. This selection includes aggregates exhibiting diverse adhesion to bituminous binders.

Brant

The aggregate from the Brant quarry contains **granite porphyry** as the key mineral. Despite its porous surface due to weathering, it is also **hydrophilic** and therefore susceptible to stripping.

Chlum

The aggregate from the Chlum quarry can be classified as acid leachate (**phonolite**). Feldspars cannot be detected macroscopically, biotite may be present in small amounts. Aggregates produced from this rock are generally classified as **hydrophilic**, exhibiting poor bitumen aggregate adhesion; Suitable adhesion promoters are usually required in the mix design or, if possible, this aggregate is avoided.

Zbečno

The host rock in Zbečno quarry is igneous. From a petrographic point of view, it is a **spilite** which contains plagioclase strips (andesine) and isometric grains of pyroxenes. Secondary veins with quartz, calcite, chlorite or pumpellyite are abundant. Some spilites contain up to 3 mm of feldspar growth. Zbečno aggregate usually exhibits good bitumen-aggregate adhesion.





Materials – Bitumen

<u>Soft</u> paving grade <u>bitumen</u> 160/220 with a <u>penetration of 187 dmm</u> and a <u>softening point of 38 °C</u> was used in this study. The use of this type of binder is required by the test protocol given in EN 1744-4, Annex A.

Materials – Filler

As alternative fillers used to replace the <u>traditional limestone filler</u>, several variants of <u>quarry dust</u> or <u>backhouse fillers from asphalt mix production</u> representing different quarries or in two cases asphalt mixing plants were chosen. Quarry dust (QD) came from the quarries of Plešovice, Litice, Chrtníky, and Chornice. The backhouse filler (BF) was collected from the Brant (Fron ek) and Kladno (PKB) asphalt plants.





Materials - XRF spectrometry

X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrometry was used to determine percentages of most important oxides in filler samples with focus mainly on SiO_2 and CaO. It is established that if the sample contains more than 65% of SiO_2 , the rock is of acidic origin and usually hydrophilic. On the other hand, presence of CaO indicates that the material is hydrophobic.

Compound	BF Brant m/m%	BF PKB m/m%	QD Plešovice m/m%	QD Litice m/m%	CaCO3 (reference) m/m%	QD Chrtníky m/m%	QD Chornice m/m%
SiO2	57.35	53.98	70.27	36.35	2.86	34.40	60.97
Al2O3	21.35	18.98	13.88	16.93	5.09	18.39	20.57
Fe2O3	9.14	8.40	3.86	10.34	0.55	16.10	3.65
CaO	1.28	6.91	1.55	22.34	65.40	12.92	4.35
MgO	3.65	3.95	0.74	9.42	24.55	15.01	2.39

Most important oxides in filler samples determined through XRF spectrometry.



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Materials – Asphalt Concretes



The asphalt concrete variants (21) had the same material composition and mix design: filler content was fixed at 10% by mass of the mix, the grading curve is roughly the same, the bitumen is about 6% by mass of the mix.

Six Marshall test specimens have been compacted at 140°C by 2x25 blows (used for water resistance evaluation), six Marshall test specimens compacted at 140°C by 2x50 blows (used for Marshall test, ITS and stiffness determination) and about 1 kg was used for determining maximum density according to EN 12697-5. The six test specimens with lower compaction energy were later used for the water susceptibility test according to EN 12697-12. The conditioning according to EN 1744-4, annex A shall be in water bath at 40+1°C for 48h.





Strength, durability and stiffness

Quarry	Asphalt Mixture	Marshall Stability [kN]	S _{MA}	Marshall Stiffness [kN/mm]	MT _{ratio}	Stiffness @ 15°C [MPa]	ITS [MPa]	ITSR
	Reference	9.9	-1.7 %	3.88	0.08	3 808	1.35	91 %
	$(CaCO_3)$	10.1		3.50			1.22	
	BF PKB	7.8 5.9	24.0 %	4.16 2.31	0.44	3 193	1.16 1.05	91 %
	BF Brant	9.5 7.6	19.7 %	4.44 2.86	0.36	4 284	1.12 1.04	93 %
Brant	QD Plešovice	9.4 9.5	-0.7 %	4.62 4.19	0.09	4 571	1.47 1.15	78 %
	QD Chrtníky	9.1 6.3	30.8 %	4.79 2.55	0.47	4 503	1.00 0.73	73 %
	QD Litice	8.7 8.2	5.8 %	4.00 4.09	-0.02	3 242	1.18 0.94	80 %
	QD Chornice	14.9 10.3	30.9 %	5.73 3.21	0.44	5 255	1.53 1.00	65 %



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Nicola Baldo University of Udine

Perugia 4th - 8th September 2023



Strength, durability and stiffness

Quarry	Asphalt Mixture	Marshall Stability [kN]	S _{MA}	Marshall Stiffness [kN/mm]	MT _{ratio}	Stiffness @ 15°C [MPa]	ITS [MPa]	ITSR
	Reference	8.3	6.0 %	2.40	0.05	2 495	1.12	93 %
	$(CaCO_3)$	6.1		2.28			1.03	
	BF PKB	6.4 5.5	15.0 %	2.98 1.98	0.34	2 483	1.03 0.78	76 %
	BF Brant	6.4 5.6	12.6 %	2.99 1.97	0.34	2 961	1.07 0.83	78 %
Chlum	QD Plešovice	10.1 8.7	13.2 %	4.10 3.23	0.21	5 105	0.99 0.64	65 %
	QD Chrtníky	8.5 8.3	2.0 %	2.81 2.64	0.06	2 667	1.12 1.04	93 %
	QD Litice	8.1 7.4	9.4 %	3.22 2.49	0.23	2 605	1.01 0.69	68 %
	QD Chornice	7.1 4.8	32.7 %	3.20 1.76	0.45	2 813	0.97 0.53	55 %



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Strength, durability and stiffness

Quarry	Asphalt Mixture	Marshall Stability [kN]	S _{MA}	Marshall Stiffness [kN/mm]	MT _{ratio}	Stiffness @ 15°C [MPa]	ITS [MPa]	ITSR
	Reference	9.0	30.3 %	0.41	0.54	4 566	1.17	63 %
	$(CaCO_3)$	6.3		0.19			0.73	
	BF PKB	9.2 6.5	29.1 %	0.35	0.45	4 354	1.42 0.91	64 %
		0.0		0.13			4.20	
	BF Brant	ð. l	28.3 %	0.32	0.49	4 071	1.38	66 %
		5.8		0.16	•••••		0.91	
Zhočno	QD	7.5	070/	0.30	0.22	4 516	1.25	59 %
ZDECHO	Plešovice	7.3	2.1 /0	0.23	0.23		0.73	
	QD	9.3	260/	0.40	0.21	2646	0.93	1110/
	Chrtníky	9.0	3.0 %	0.32	0.21	3 040	1.06	114 70
		9.8		0.36	0.27	2 260	1.27	0F 0/
		7.6	22.4 %	0.23	0.37	3 260	1.08	80 %
	QD	7.9		0.24	0.49	2 766	1.13	02.0/
	Chornice	5.1	30.0 %	0.12	0.48	3766	0.93	OJ 70



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Artificial Neural Network

Input layer	Hidden layer	Output layer	Transfer Function	Equation	Graph
Cat. Var. O SiO ₂ /CaO O SiO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃ O SiO ₂ /Fe ₂ O ₃ O SiO ₂ /MgO O	Ö O		Logistic Sigmoid	$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$	0 -1
		OITSM	Rectified Linear	$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0 \\ x & x > 0 \end{cases}$	$ \xrightarrow[]{0 \\ -1}^{+1} \xrightarrow[]{0 \\ -1} \xrightarrow[]{0 \\ -1$
			Exponential Linear	$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha(e^x - 1) & x \le 0\\ x & x > 0 \end{cases}$	
			Tangent Sigmoid	$\varphi(x) = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{e^x + e^{-x}}$	0 -1
	25				



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



k-fold Cross-Validation

k-fold Cross-Validation is a resampling technique used to elaborate an actual model on a limited data sample. It consists in dividing the data sample in kpartitions. Each sub-sample is used once as validation set and k-1 times as training set. It was decided to give a k-value equal to 6, consistently with the relevant literature.

lter. 1	Test	Train	Train	Train	Train	Train
lter. 2	Train	Test	Train	Train	Train	Train
				-		
lter. 3	Train	Train	Test	Train	Train	Train
lter. 4	Train	Train	Train	Test	Train	Train
lter. 5	Train	Train	Train	Train	Test	Train
lter. 6	Train	Train	Train	Train	Train	Test





The satisfactory results achieved by the different proposed SNNs are expressed by means of the Ordinary Coefficient of Determination (R^2) and the Mean Squared Error (MSE) values. The former represents how the data are well approximated by the regression line: the higher it is, the better the model fits the data. The latter represents the generalizing capabilities of the model through an average of the differences between the experimental investigated modules and those predicted by the SNN squared.

Inputs	Output	Transfer Function	Best Architecture	R ²	MSE
Cat. Var.	ITSM	ELU	5-6-1	0.9372	0.0568
SiO ₂ /CaO		ReLU	5-12-1	0.9378	0.0538
SIO ₂ /AI ₂ O ₃ SIO ₂ /Fe ₂ O ₃		TanH	5-5-1	0.9389	0.0572
SiO ₂ /MgO		LogS	5-4-1	0.9473	0.0445

Table 2. Summary Results of the best models.



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering







Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Remarks case study n.3

- An unconventional input type, related to the oxides composition of fillers, along with a categorical variable related to the stone aggregate type, has allowed to properly train neural models aimed to predict stiffness of asphalt concretes, even those made with waste fillers.
- The prediction accuracy of the neural model is resulted good even if only 4 neurons were implemented in the hidden layer.
- Nonlinear transfer functions of different type, require a different number of hidden neurons to achieve the best prediction accuracy.





Case study n.4





Article

Mechanical Characterization of Industrial Waste Materials as Mineral Fillers in Asphalt Mixes: Integrated Experimental and Machine Learning Analysis

Nitin Tiwari 1,2,*, Nicola Baldo 3,*, Neelima Satyam² and Matteo Miani³

- ¹ Lyles School of Civil Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA
- ² Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Indore 452020, India; neelima.satyam@iiti.ac.in
- ³ Polytechnic Department of Engineering and Architecture (DPIA), University of Udine, 33100 Udine, Italy; matteo.miani@phd.units.it
- * Correspondence: tiwari50@purdue.edu (N.T.); nicola.baldo@uniud.it (N.B.)





Introduction

This case study regards the feasibility of using seven different materials as alternative filler instead of ordinary Portland cement (OPC) in road pavement base layers, namely **rice husk ash** (RHA), **brick dust** (BD), **marble dust** (MD), **stone dust** (SD), **fly ash** (FA), **limestone dust** (LD), and **silica fume** (SF).

The experimental data were processed through artificial neural networks (ANNs), using k-fold cross validation resampling technique.





Materials and Design

Conventional VG-30 bitumen properties.

Test Parameters	Specified Limit (MoRTH)	Test Results	Test Method
Absolute Viscosity at 60°C, poises	2400-3600	2855	IS 1206 (P-2)
Kinematic Viscosity at 135°C cSt, Min	350	392	IS 1206 (P-3)
Flash point Cleveland open cup, °C, Min	250	304	IS 1448 (P-69)
Penetration at 25°C, 100gm, 5sec, 1/10 mm, Min	45	49	IS 1203
Softening Point (R&B), °C, Min	47	48	IS 1205
Matter Soluble in trichloroethylene, % by mass, Min	99	99.45	IS 1216
Viscosity Ratio at 60°C, Max	4.0	1.3	IS 1206 (P-2)
Ductility at 25°C, cm after TFOT Min	40	75	IS 1208
Specific Gravity gm/cc	0.97 -1.02	0.987	IS 1202





Materials and Design



RHA (a), BD (b), MD (c), SD (d), FA (e), OPC (f), LD (g), and SF (h) filler materials.



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Nicola Baldo University of Udine XIX International SIIV Summer School Perugia 4th - 8th September 2023


Materials and Design

Properties of the investigated mineral fillers.

Test narameter	Mineral Filler Type								
rest parameter	RHA	BD	MD	SD	FA	OPC	LD	SF	
Specific gravity (g/cm ³)	2.02	2.56	2.69	2.69	2.32	3.04	2.65	2.20	
MBV (g/kg)	4.72	6.25	4.45	3.67	3.86	3.00	3.75	3.85	
German filler (g)	65	40	70	85	75	85	97	94	
FM	3.21	5.17	2.12	5.38	3.77	4.96	3.03	1.96	
Surface area (m ² /g)	2.31	2.69	4.37	2.70	2.19	1.75	2.70	16.45	
PH	10.86	8.67	8.50	12.57	7.30	12.90	10.22	6.98	
SiO ₂ (%)	89.67	39.55	0.60	82.37	48.24	21.43	0.48	93.5	
CaO (%)	1.88	12.88	55.60	2.79	13.40	66.58	96.57	0.89	
Al ₂ O ₃ (%)	1.62	15.71	0.40	8.23	24.15	3.01	0.41	0.08	
MgO (%)	0.97	3.29	0.10	1.47	1.46	1.39	0.46	0.82	
Fe ₂ O ₃ (%)	1.06	14.05	0.20	5.27	6.48	4.68	0.32	0.50	
Particle shape	Honeycombed	Subangular particles	Subangular particles	Angular particles	Rounded	Granular/ subangular particles	Granular particles	Spherically shaped	





Materials and Design

Crushed quartz aggregate properties

Test Parameters	Specified Limit (MoRTH)	Test Results	Test Method
Cleanliness (Dust) (%)	Max 5 %	3	IS 2386 Part I
Bulk Specific gravity (g/cm3)	2-3	2.68	IS 2386 Part III
Percent wear by Los Angeles abrasion (%)	Max 35 %	10.6	IS 2386 Part IV
Soundness loss by sodium sulphate solution (%)	Max 12%	3.4	IS 2386 Part V
Soundness loss by magnesium sulphate solution (%)	Max 18%	3.7	IS 2386 Part V
Flakiness and Elongation Index (%)	Max 35%		IS 2386 Part I
– 20 mm		27.93	
– 10 mm		32.13	
Impact Strength (%)	Max 27%		IS 2386 Part IV
– 20 mm		4.15	
– 10 mm		5.91	
Water Absorption (%)	Max 2%	1.67	IS 2386 Part III



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Materials and Design

Aggregate type, grain size distribution, and bitumen type have been kept constant for all the asphalt concretes investigated in order to assess only the effect of the different filler materials on the physicalmechanical response of the mixes.

Four levels of waste mineral filler have been considered, namely, 4.0%, 5.5%, 7.0%, and 8.5%, by volume of mix; OPC has been used with the same contents as a comparative term.

Marshall compaction and stability, Indirect Tensile Strenght, Cantabro Abrasion Loss, modified Lottman test.



Design gradation curve and MoRTH limits.









Results and discussion

VOLUMETRIC PROPERTIES





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Nicola Baldo University of Udine XIX International SIIV Summer School

Perugia 4th - 8th September 2023





Results and discussion

MARSHALL PARAMETERS and CANTABRO LOSS





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Nicola Baldo University of Udine



Results and discussion INDIRECT TENSILE STRENGTH





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Artificial Neuron

Inputs Weights





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Nicola Baldo University of Udine



k-fold Cross-Validation





Training Process



Data Augmentation





- Synthetic data generation
- Unaltered collected information meaning



Augmented points (red cross marker) and available experimental points functions (black plus sign marker).





Neural Modeling Results





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Remarks case study n.4

- WASTE Fillers can replace conventional filler in asphalt concretes.
- k-folds resampling and MAKIMA data-augmentation methods allow to properly train neural models.
- The Artificial Neural Network architecture should be always optimized.





Case study n.5





Article

Foamed Bitumen Mixtures for Road Construction Made with 100% Waste Materials: A Laboratory Study

Nicola Baldo ^{1,*}, Fabio Rondinella ^{1,*}, Fabiola Daneluz ¹ and Marco Pasetto ²

- Polytechnic Department of Engineering and Architecture (DPIA), University of Udine, Via del Cotonificio 114, 33100 Udine, Italy; fabiola.daneluz@uniud.it
- ² Department of Civil, Environmental and Architectural Engineering (DICEA), University of Padua, Via Marzolo 9, 35131 Padua, Italy; marco.pasetto@unipd.it
- * Correspondence: nicola.baldo@uniud.it (N.B.); fabio.rondinella@phd.units.it (F.R.)





Waste Materials



Electric Arc Furnace (EAF) steel slags



Municipal Solid Waste Incineration (MSWI) bottom ash



Glass Waste (GW)



Ladle Furnace (LF) slags







RAP



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering

Nicola Baldo University of Udine



Initial concentration of major heavy metals

Element	Initial Concentration (mg/kg)							
	EAF Slags	LF Slags	MSWI Ash	CF Ash	GW			
Copper (Cu)	188.9	107.0	575.0	304.6	989.0			
Cadmium (Cd)	14.5	<0.5	1.2	2.3	<0.5			
Lead (Pb)	58.2	7.1	480.0	54.8	226.0			
Zinc (Zn)	749.2	63.3	815.0	217.4	901.0			
Chromium, Total (Cr)	18,150.5	599.0	60.0	292.7	250			
Chromium, Hexavalent (Cr)	<1	<5.0	<0.1	1.8	<5			
Nickel (Ni)	74.1	27.6	55.0	143.8	13.8			
Mercury (Hg)	1.0	<0.5	<0.1	<1.0	<0.5			
Selenium (Se)	87.3	5.3	<0.1	62.7	<2.0			
Arsenic (As)	131.5	14.7	<0.1	23.7	<2.0			
Beryllium (Be)	0.5	0.6	<0.1	4.5	0.9			
Antimony (Sb)	6.0	7.3	2.9	5.6	2.0			
Thallium (Tl)	19.1	<0.5	<0.01	<1.0	<0.5			





Leaching concentration of major heavy metals

	UM	TCLP Leaching Concentration							
Element		EAF Slags	LF Slags	MSWI Ash	CF Ash	GW	Legal Threshold		
Copper (Cu)	(mg/L)	<0.001	0.001	0.042	<0.05	0.043	<0.05		
Cadmium (Cd)	(µg/L)	<1.0	<1.0	<0.3	<5.0	<1.0	<5		
Lead (Pb)	(µg/L)	<5.0	10.7	15.0	<50.0	<5.0	<50		
Zinc (Zn)	(mg/L)	0.004	<0.001	0.018	<3.0	<0.001	<3		
Chromium (Cr)	(µg/L)	38.0	1.3	23.0	<50.0	<1.0	<50		
Nickel (Ni)	(µg/L)	<3.0	<3.0	0.6	<10.0	<3.0	<10		
Mercury (Hg)	(µg/L)	<1.0	<1.0	0.2	<1.0	<1.0	<1		
Selenium (Se)	(µg/L)	<5.0	<5.0	1.7	<10.0	<5.0	<10		
Arsenic (As)	(µg/L)	<5.0	<5.0	<2.0	<50.0	<5.0	<50		
Barium (Ba)	(mg/L)	0.5	0.002	0.85	<1.0	0.01	<1		





Physical and mechanical characteristics of waste materials

Properties	Reference Standards	EAF Slags	LF Slags	MSWI Ash	CF Ash	GW	RAP
Equivalent in sand (%)	EN 933-8	79	52	65	_	68	82
Shape Index (%)	EN 933-4	2	5	6	_	14	10
Flakening Index (%)	EN 933-3	5	2	9	_	32	7
Los Angeles coefficient (%)	EN 1097-2	19	-	-	-	_	27
Particle density (Mg/m ³)	EN 1097-6	3.71	2.23	2.21	2.01	2.45	2.36



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Waste materials grading curves





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Nicola Baldo University of Udine



Limestone aggregates grading curves





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Nicola Baldo University of Udine



Mixtures composition (%)

Aggregate Type	Mix 1	Mix 2	Mix 3	Mix 4	Mix 5	LS
EAF slags	20	20	20	20	20	0
LF slags	10	10	10	10	10	0
MSWI ash	0	10	20	30	40	0
CF ash	10	10	10	10	10	0
GW	40	30	20	10	0	0
RAP	20	20	20	20	20	0
Limestone 0/5	0	0	0	0	0	40
Limestone 5/10	0	0	0	0	0	15
Limestone 10/15	0	0	0	0	0	15
Limestone 15/25	0	0	0	0	0	12
Limestone 25/40	0	0	0	0	0	10
Filler	0	0	0	0	0	8



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Nicola Baldo University of Udine



Design grading curves of the mixtures





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Materials: bitumen

"soft" bitumen, 80/100 penetration grade (82 dmm at 25 ° C)



Cold recycling



Foam collapse



Expansion chamber



Laboratory foaming equipment



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Foamed bitumen mixtures vs Hot Mix Asphalt



Bitumen "Spot Welds" between Aggregate Particles



Conventional bitumen film coating of aggregate particles



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Nicola Baldo University of Udine



Indirect Tensile Strength (ITS)

EN 12697-23

Curing conditions

- ✓ 24 h in the mould, at room temperature
- ✓ 72 h at 40° C





- ✓ Temperature: 25° C
- ✓ Strain rate: 0.85 mm/s
- ✓ Dry/Wet conditions
- ✓ Soaked samples: 24 h in water at 25° C

Indirect Tensile Stiffness Modulus

EN 12697/26 - Annex C



- $S_m = \frac{F(\nu + 0.27)}{z \cdot h}$
- Test conditions
- ✓ Temperature: 25° C
- ✓ Rise Time: 124 ms
- ✓ Strain amplitude: 5 microns
- ✓ 2 diameters
- ✓ 10 conditioning pulses









Test results (@ 2% cement & 2% Foamed bitumen)

Aggregate Type	Mix 1	Mix 2	Mix 3	Mix 4	Mix 5	LS
ITS dry (MPa)	0.54	0.57	0.62	0.49	0.40	0.28
ITS soaked (MPa)	0.38	0.42	0.47	0.33	0.26	0.17
TSR (%)	0.70	0.74	0.76	0.67	0.65	0.61





MatLab Neural Network Fitting Toolbox

Neural Fitting (nftool) Neural Fitting (nftool) Train Network Train the network to fit the inputs and targets.		Training: 70% Validation: 15% Testing: 15%
Train Network Choose a training algorithm: Levenberg-Marquardt This algorithm typically takes more memory but less time. Training automatically stops when generalization stops improving, as indicated by an increase in the mean square error of the validation samples. Train using Levenberg-Marquardt. (trainIm) Train	Results Samples MSE R Training: 62 - - Validation: 14 - - Testing: 14 - - Plot Fit Plot Error Histogram Plot Regression	$\sum_{n=1}^{n} (a_{n} + b)^{2}$
 Notes Training multiple times will generate different results due to different initial conditions and sampling. 	 Mean Squared Error is the average squared difference between outputs and targets. Lower values are better. Zero means no error. Regression R Values measure the correlation between outputs and targets. An R value of 1 means a close relationship, 0 a random relationship. 	$MSE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - t_i)}{n}$ $R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y})(t_i - \hat{t})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_i - \hat{t})}}$



Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Neural modeling





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Modeling results





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Dry ITS (ANN output vs Experimental data)





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Wet ITS (ANN output vs Experimental data)





Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



Stiffness (ANN output vs Experimental data)







FINAL REMARKS (1/2)

- 1. Performance predictions via Machine Learning represent a contribution to <u>Pavement</u> <u>Engineering Digitalization</u>.
- 2. Machine Learning non-linear fitting methods can positively contribute to the laboratory performance evaluation phase of bituminous mixtures, even for mixes with waste materials included in the composition, thus enforcing the <u>Green Transition</u> of Pavement Engineering.
- 3. Laboratory data consistency is a fundamental requisite to ensure neural models prediction accuracy.
- 4. Prediction accuracy is not based on the complexity of the model, but rather on the optimization of the model.





FINAL REMARKS (2/2)

- 1. SNNs (Shallow Neural Networks) have been shown to solve pretty well any multi-dimensional input-output fitting problem by providing an optimal number of hidden neurons.
- 2. The Bayesian optimization represents an effective approach to identify the optimal SNNs architecture and hyperparameters values.
- 3. The prediction accuracy of a SNNs model is very good, but a physical interpretation of the phenomena cannot be obtained (**BLACK BOX** issue).
- 4. Fatigue or permanent deformation resistance data should be included in the machine learning modeling, to further enhance the performance evaluation phase of asphalt concretes.









Università degli Studi di Perugia Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering

